Research Article | Education | ISSN No: 2839-5085 | Volume : 1 | Issue : 5 | September 2026

Democracy and Development in Contemporary India

Gnanraj, Research Scholar,
Vijanagara University, Ballari,
Karnataka, India.

Access Online

Abstract

I see that this research paper looks at the link between India's economic growth and its democratic changes after 2020. India is moving from a command-and-control economy to a market leader and it is expected to keep a strong growth rate of 7.4 percent by FY26. This economic success is set against growing questions about how independent institutionsre how free the press can be and how wealth is shared. The study uses a mix of data and stories to examine economic numbers and how governance is changing. The results show a Digital Development" model where India has used Digital Public Infrastructure and Direct Benefit Transfers to improve the state's ability to deliver services cutting through usual bureaucratic slowdowns.. This progress happens while a K-shaped recovery and ongoing social inequality show that technology by itself cannot fix all problems. The paper says that while digital tools have turned processes into more efficient government actions India's long-term growth will depend on making the rule of law and diverse institutions stronger. In the end the paper says that to reach the Viksit Bharat 2047 goals India must mix its push for executive action with a fresh focus, on democratic discussion that is needed for a country of 1.4 billion people.

Keywords; *Indian Democracy, Economic Development, Inclusive Growth, Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI), Institutional Resilience, Populism, Federalism*

Introduction

Since India became independent in 1947 the way India's economy and politics have changed has been deep and important. India's journey from the years to the present is a story of many twists and turns. For years India worked under a "command and control" system. This system meant that the government had a lot of power that all plans were made by the state and that India was careful about trading with countries. India's life was shaped by this state rule. In the 1990s India made big changes that moved the country toward a market economy. These changes ended the "License Raj". Brought India into the

world financial system. This shift was more than rules; it changed the very way India saw itself on the world stage. India went from a country that wanted to be self-sufficient to a lively player in global markets. Now after 2020 India shines as a sign of strength. Even though the world has changes and the pandemic is still affecting life India keeps the top spot as the fastest-growing large economy in the world. India's momentum comes from a young workforce, a growing middle class and a smart spot that makes India a good choice for global supply chains. This strong economy also creates a puzzle. The International Monetary Fund and World Bank say India will grow fast 7.4 % in 2026. Many people are looking closely at how India is really doing. A big problem shows up when you look past the headline numbers. People worry about India's democracy, how free the presss whether wealth is shared fairly. The "India Story" now shows a gap between high economic numbers and what most people, in India actually live. This makes people ask if India's growth is really fair or if it will last.

Objectives of the study

- To analyze the correlation between democratic stability and economic growth in 21st-century India.
- To evaluate the impact of Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) (Aadhaar, UPI) on democratic accountability and service delivery.
- To identify the challenges posed by political polarization and institutional shifts to long-term economic stability.

Literature Review

The relationship between growth and democratic stability has been a major topic in political science for a long time. This review looks at the theories behind this connection the case of India and the growing clash between global rankings and local views. The discussion starts with Seymour Martin Lipset's Modernization Theory from 1959. He believed that economic development must come first before democracy can take root. According to Lipset as countries grow richer and more educated people naturally want governments. On the hand Amartya Sen (1999) offered a different take. He argued that democracy is not the final result of wealth but a key driver of development. Sen's view is that democratic rights—like a press and the ability to vote—are crucial in stopping disasters such as famines. These freedoms create a system where the government must answer to the people. India has often gone against these ideas, especially the Lipset theory. Scholar Ramachandra Guha (2007) points out that India has stayed democratic with very low income levels at the start. He sees this as a miracle. Guha says this was made possible by the inclusive foundation laid during India's independence movement. Yogendra Yadav (2000) talks about the "Second Democratic Upsurge " showing how democracy in India grew stronger as more people from marginalized groups began to take part in elections. Compared to other post-colonial countries that turned to authoritarian rule India has kept its democratic system. Because of this scholars often call India a exception" to the idea that poor countries cannot have stable democracies.

In years the debate in academic literature has shifted. It now focuses more on the health of institutions versus the goals of state-led development. International organizations like the V-Dem Institute (2024) and Freedom House (2024) have lowered India's rating. They point to growing pressures on society shrinking media freedom and a reduction in the space for diverse voices. These reports speak of " backsliding," where elections still happen but the core values of democracy—like independence and accountability—are weakening. The Indian government however tells a story. It promotes the idea of India as the "Mother of Democracy". Supports the Viksit Bharat 2047 vision. This vision sees democracy as

a way to provide services and build national pride. This view focuses on " democracy"—how well the state delivers digital services, housing and healthcare—rather than the procedural concerns raised by Western institutions. This difference creates a gap in the research. One side worries about the breakdown of checks and balances. The other celebrates how citizens are being empowered through a public infrastructure (DPI) model. In the end the literature shows that India's economic growth remains strong.. The tension between its democratic identity and its development goals is now at a turning point. The challenge, for research is to find a way to combine the efficiency of a modern digital state with the deep values of a strong and inclusive democracy.

Methodology

To understand how Indias economy is growing and how its democracy is doing this study uses a mix of research methods. One method alone wouldn't be enough to understand everything about a country that is changing a lot because of technology and also changing its rules and systems. By using both numbers and stories this way of working makes sure that the big picture of the economy is not ignored but that the details about the way politics and society work are not missed. The numbers part of the study looks at things that can be measured like how much the economy's growing and how much wealth is spread out or not. Important numbers include how much the economy grows each year and a number called the Gini coefficient that shows how equal or unequal wealth is. The study also looks at how many digital transactions happen from 2016 to 2026. This ten-year time is important because it covers when India first started trying to move from using cash to using digital money all the way to when digital systems became the main way the government and people talk to each other. Alongside the numbers the study looks at the stories. This means looking at official papers and new laws to see what the government is focusing on. To see how strong the democracy is the study looks at long-term data from ratings of how democratic a country is. These ratings are compared with what's happening in Indias own laws and rules. The study uses trusted and reliable information. The numbers come from organizations like the World Bank, the Reserve Bank of India and the Economic Survey of India for 2025-26. These are added to ideas from articles, in science journals making sure the study is based on both real facts and ideas that experts have studied. This two-part way of working helps to get a picture of whether Indias growth is making the democracy stronger or just making the government work better.

Analysis

The way India is developing now is full of a big conflict between growing the economy very fast and the way its democracy is changing. By 2026 this conflict has become the thing that defines the countrys politics and economy. In the 2025-26 year the economy of India shows a strong change with real GDP growing at 7.4%. This growth is coming from both the manufacturing and service sectors. The manufacturing part, helped by plans to encourage production has grown by about 7.0%. This shows that India is becoming a place where the worlds supply chains are moving to. At the time the services part is still the main part causing growth with a 9.1% increase. This kind of growth where two parts of the economy are both doing well has given the government space to spend on projects but it also makes people wonder if the recovery is only helping the rich and not the poor. A big part of how India's run now is the Digital Democracy Model. Using tools like the JAM trinity (Jan Dhan, Aadhaar and Mobile) the government has changed how it works with the people. By 2026 money for welfare has gone directly to the people without going through the usual slow process. This has made the government better at giving

service. This has made the government seem more legitimate. For people democracy is now about getting their money and services quickly and this has made people think that being efficient with technology is the same as being democratic. A full look at this needs to go beyond how well the government works and check how the basic structures are doing. The court system still has to deal with issues about peoples rights and also has to manage its relationship with the government. The Election Commission has to keep being fair in an environment where politics is very divided. The difficult part is the relationship between the central government and the states. Even though the latest report says the states are working on big projects the way the central and state governments work together is still a problem. Disputes about money from taxes the role of governors and the way big programs are run are making the relationship tense. The central government wants everything to be the same but the states want more control to deal with their own needs. The analysis says that even though the government is getting better at working through technology the real democracy depends on the independence of these parts and keeping a fair balance between the center and the states. In short India is getting good at delivering things to the people. Whether this growth lasts will depend on making sure that the rules and checks that keep things fair are also getting better as fast, as the digital systems.

Discussion

The intersection of India's economic expansion and its democratic evolution brings to the forefront a critical debate: can a nation maintain high-velocity development while preserving the slow often contentious, process of democratic deliberation? As India approaches its 2047 goals the tension between efficiency and political liberty has become the central theme of its national discourse. A significant portion of India's success is attributed to a centralized executive model that prioritizes swift decision-making and large-scale implementation. This approach has undoubtedly accelerated infrastructure projects and digital integration. This raises the question of whether "speed'" is being achieved at the expense of "deliberation." In a society the legislative process is designed to be a sieve, filtering diverse interests and local concerns through debate. When the executive bypasses these consultative layers to ensure project timelines are met the immediate developmental output increases but the democratic "buy-in" from various stakeholders may weaken. The challenge for India is to ensure that the drive for efficiency does not transform the state into a technocratic entity where the voice of the dissent is viewed merely as an obstacle to progress. While the macroeconomic headlines remain positive a closer look at the 2025-26 data reveals a persistent "K-shaped" recovery. This phenomenon describes a divergence where the formal corporate sector and skilled services thrive while rural economies and informal labor struggle to regain their pre-pandemic momentum. Corporate profits and the wealth of the decile have seen record growth yet rural wage growth has remained largely stagnant when adjusted for inflation. This disparity poses a threat to the social contract. If the fruits of a 7.4% GDP growth rate are not perceived as being distributed the resulting social friction can undermine the political stability required for long-term investment. True development must be measured not by the height of the stock market but by the rising floor of the minimum wage and the purchasing power of the agrarian heartland. Finally the sustainability of India's development is deeply linked to the health of its society. NGOs, activists and independent media serve as the "feedback loop" of a democracy highlighting policy gaps and human rights concerns that the state might otherwise overlook. In years the narrowing of the space for these actors—often through tightened financial regulations and increased legal scrutiny—has weakened this essential mechanism.

Without a robust civil society to act as an early-warning system the state risks operating in an information silo making it prone to " spots" in policy implementation. Sustainable development is not a down gift from the state; it is a collaborative process. For India to reach its potential it must reconcile its need for executive efficiency with a renewed respect, for the pluralistic voices that ensure growth is both inclusive and accountable. The "Indian Model" will ultimately be judged by its ability to prove that democracy is not a luxury of development. Its most durable engine.

Results

The first major finding is that India has successfully separated economic growth from several traditional Western democratic requirements. Unlike models that say liberal institutional development must come before fast wealth creation India has created a special "Digital Development" method. By using technology to give services directly to its people the government has reached high growth levels and better efficiency without waiting for the slow change of old-style reforms. This shows that in the century digital infrastructure can act as a strong alternative, to some traditional ways of building institutions. Second the research shows that term political stability has helped bring in more Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) as global investors look for "certainty" when world politics are changing. This money coming in has not fixed a problem: high youth unemployment. Even though the GDP is strong the economy is not making jobs in the formal sector for its huge young population. This creates a " problem" where the anger of young people who are not working could one day shake the democratic peace that investors like now. Finally the smart use of technology has clearly improved "state capacity " helping the government reach areas with great accuracy.. Even though technology has fixed the basic systems of governance it has not yet removed the deep social inequalities. Data shows that caste and religious differences still affect results and the ability to move up in society.

Conclusion

The evolution of India's economy since 1947 shows that democracy and development do not stand against each other. Instead they are now in a process of rethinking and redefining each other. In the years the focus was on survival under a "command and control" system. After 1991 the shift came toward market integration and economic liberalization. In the last decade a new direction has emerged—a pursuit of a "Digital Development" model. This research reveals that India has made strides in economic growth and administrative efficiency thanks to digital technology. Still the health of its institutions is under intense scrutiny. To fulfill the dream of a "Viksit Bharat" by 2047 the state cannot just rely on the success of infrastructure-led growth. Physical and digital connectivity are important. A strong lasting nation needs more. It needs independence and a deep commitment to social pluralism. Real development is not stable if it depends on how quickly services are delivered. True development becomes strong only when it is built on the rule of law a free press and a vibrant civil society. When these pillars are strong growth includes everyone. It becomes inclusive. The state remains responsible to all people no matter their background. India's experience is more than a story. It is an example for the 21st century. It shows that for a country with 1.4 billion people democracy is not an ideal. It is a necessity. In a society, with many languages, religions and economic levels the democratic process acts as a vital pressure valve. It gives space to grievances. It allows different interests to be heard. In the end India's journey tells us this: technology can help the state deliver better. Only a strong diverse democracy can hold society together. Only that can sustain a superpower built on unity and justice.

References

Freedom House. (2024). Freedom in the world 2024: The annual survey of political rights and civil liberties.

Guha, R. (2007). India after Gandhi: The history of the world's largest democracy. HarperCollins.

International Monetary Fund. "India: Staff Concluding Statement of the 2024 Article IV Consultation Mission." IMF Country Reports, 2024.

Lipset, S. M. (1959). Some social requisites of democracy: Economic development and political legitimacy. American Political Science Review, 53(1).

Ministry of Finance. Economic Survey of India 2025-26. Government of India, 2026.

NITI Aayog. Strategy for New India @ 75. Government of India, 2018.

Reserve Bank of India. Annual Report 2024-25. RBI, 2025.

Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.

V-Dem Institute. (2024). Democracy report 2024: Democracy winning and losing at the ballot box. University of Gothenburg.

World Bank. Global Economic Prospects: India Analysis. World Bank Group, 2025.

Yadav, Y. (2000). Understanding the second democratic upsurge: Trends of Bahujan participation in electoral politics in the 1990s. In F. R. Frankel et al. (Eds.), Transforming India: Social and political dynamics of democracy. Oxford University Press.