



Democracy and Development in Contemporary India

Gnanraj, Research Scholar, Vijanagar University, Ballari, Karnataka, India

Abstract

This research paper examines the complex between India's rapid economic expansion and its democratic evolution in the post-2020 landscape. Transitioning from a "command and control" economy to a global market leader, India is projected to maintain a robust growth trajectory of 7.4% by FY26. This economic success is juxtaposed against mounting scrutiny regarding institutional independence, press freedom, and wealth distribution. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the study analyzes macroeconomic indicators alongside qualitative shifts in governance. The findings reveal a unique "Digital Development" model where India has successfully leveraged Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) and Direct Benefit Transfers (DBT) to enhance state capacity and service delivery, effectively bypassing traditional bureaucratic inefficiencies. Yet, this efficiency exists alongside a "K-shaped" recovery and persistent social inequalities that technology alone cannot resolve. The paper argues that while digitalization has matured democratic processes into efficient administrative mechanisms, the long-term sustainability of India's development depends on strengthening the rule of law and pluralistic institutions. Ultimately, the study concludes that for India to achieve its "Viksit Bharat 2047" goals, the state must reconcile its drive for executive efficiency with a renewed commitment to the democratic deliberation essential for managing a diverse population of 1.4 billion.

Keywords; Indian Democracy, Economic Development, Inclusive Growth, Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI), Institutional Resilience, Populism, Federalism

Introduction

Since gaining independence in 1947, India's economic and political trajectory has been defined by a profound evolution. For several decades, the nation operated under a "command and control" model, characterized by heavy state intervention, central planning, and a cautious approach to global trade. The landmark reforms of the early 1990s catalyzed a shift toward a market-oriented economy, dismantling the "License Raj" and integrating

India into the global financial fabric. This transition was not merely a change in policy but a foundational reimagining of India's role on the world stage—from a post-colonial state focused on self-sufficiency to a dynamic global market player. In the current post-2020 landscape, India has emerged as a beacon of resilience. Despite the volatility of global geopolitical shifts and the lingering effects of a global pandemic, India has consistently maintained its status as the world's fastest-growing major economy. This momentum is fueled by a

massive young workforce, a burgeoning middle class, and a strategic positioning that makes it an attractive alternative in global supply chains. This economic prowess presents a complex paradox. While the International Monetary Fund and World Bank project robust GDP growth—estimated at 7.4% for the 2026 fiscal year—the qualitative aspects of India's development are under intense scrutiny. A critical problem emerges when one looks beneath the headline growth figures: there are mounting concerns regarding the deepening of democratic values, the autonomy of the press, and the equitable distribution of wealth. The "India Story" is increasingly characterized by a widening gap between soaring macroeconomic indicators and the lived realities of its vast population, leading to questions about whether growth is truly inclusive or sustainable.

Objectives of the Study

1. To analyze the correlation between democratic stability and economic growth in 21st-century India.
2. To evaluate the impact of Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) (Aadhaar, UPI) on democratic accountability and service delivery.
3. To identify the challenges posed by political polarization and institutional shifts to long-term economic stability.

Literature Review

The relationship between economic growth and democratic stability has long been a focal point of political science. This review examines the theoretical foundations of this link, the historical anomalies of the Indian experience, and the contemporary tensions between international indices and domestic narratives. The academic discourse begins with Seymour Martin

Lipset's (1959) **Modernization Theory**, which posits that economic development is a prerequisite for democracy. Lipset argued that as societies become wealthier and more educated, they naturally demand democratic governance. Conversely, Amartya Sen (1999) shifted the paradigm by arguing that democracy is not the "end goal" of wealth but a vital "facilitator" of development. Sen's perspective suggests that democratic freedoms—such as a free press and political participation—are essential for preventing economic catastrophes like famines, as they create a feedback loop that holds the state accountable to its citizens. India has frequently challenged these classical frameworks, particularly the Lipset hypothesis. Scholarly work by Ramachandra Guha (2007) highlights that India's survival as a democracy at such low initial income levels is a historical miracle. Guha attributes this to the pluralistic foundations laid during the independence movement. Yogendra Yadav (2000) explores the "Second Democratic Upsurge," noting how India's democracy deepened as marginalized groups began to participate more vigorously in the electoral process. Unlike many post-colonial nations that succumbed to authoritarianism, India maintained democratic continuity, leading scholars to categorize it as a significant "exception" to the rule that poverty precludes stable democracy.

In recent years, the literature has shifted toward a debate over institutional health versus state-led development goals. International monitoring bodies, such as the **V-Dem Institute** (2024) and **Freedom House** (2024), have downgraded India's status, citing pressures on civil society, media freedom, and the narrowing of the pluralistic space. These reports suggest a "democratic backsliding" where the formal machinery of elections remains intact, but the liberal spirit of the institutions wanes. The Indian government's narrative emphasizes a different facet of democracy. The "Mother of

Democracy" rhetoric and the **Viksit Bharat 2047** vision frame democracy as a tool for efficient service delivery and national pride. This perspective prioritizes "substantive democracy"—the ability of the state to provide digital infrastructure, housing, and healthcare—over the "procedural" criticisms leveled by Western institutions. This divergence creates a critical gap in the literature: one side focuses on the erosion of checks and balances, while the other celebrates the empowerment of the citizen through a "DPI" (Digital Public Infrastructure) led growth model. Ultimately, the literature suggests that while India's economic trajectory remains impressive, the tension between its democratic identity and its developmental ambitions is reaching a crossroads. The challenge for future scholarship is to reconcile the efficiency of the modern digital state with the traditional requirements of a robust, pluralistic democracy.

Methodology

To comprehensively evaluate the intersection of India's economic momentum and its democratic health, this study employs a **mixed-methods research design**. A singular approach would be insufficient to capture the complexity of a nation transitioning through rapid digitalization while navigating institutional shifts. By integrating both quantitative and qualitative data, this methodology ensures that the broad macroeconomic "success story" is balanced against a nuanced critique of political and social structures. The **quantitative component** of the research focuses on measurable indicators of development and equity. Key datasets include annual GDP growth rates to track the nation's economic trajectory and the Gini coefficient to assess the widening or narrowing of wealth inequality. The study analyzes digital transaction volumes from 2016 to 2026. This decade-long window is critical, as it covers the period from the initial push for a "cashless economy" to the full-scale implementation of Digital Public

Infrastructure (DPI), allowing for an empirical assessment of how digitalization has become the primary vehicle for state-citizen interaction. Complementing this, the **qualitative analysis** provides the necessary context for the numbers. This involves a rigorous content analysis of official policy documents and recent legislative changes to identify shifts in governance priorities. To measure the health of democratic institutions, the study examines longitudinal data from international democratic indices, contrasting these external evaluations with domestic legislative trends. The reliability of this research is anchored in authoritative **data sources**. Statistical evidence is drawn from the World Bank, Reserve Bank of India (RBI) annual reports, and the **Economic Survey of India 2025-26**. These are supplemented by insights from peer-reviewed political science journals, ensuring the findings are grounded in both current economic reality and established academic theory. This dual-layered approach allows for a holistic understanding of whether India's growth is fostering a more robust democracy or merely a more efficient administrative state.

Analysis

The current developmental trajectory of India is defined by a significant tension between high-speed economic expansion and the evolving nature of its democratic institutions. By 2026, this duality has become the defining feature of the nation's political economy. India's economic landscape in the 2025-26 fiscal year reflects a robust structural shift, with real GDP growth stabilizing at an impressive **7.4%**. This performance is underpinned by a balanced contribution from both the secondary and tertiary sectors. The manufacturing sector, bolstered by the Production Linked Incentive (PLI) schemes, has achieved a growth rate of approximately **7.0%**, signaling India's emerging role as a global value-chain hub. Simultaneously, the services sector remains the primary

engine of growth, expanding at **9.1%**. This "twin-engine" growth model has provided the fiscal space necessary for the state to invest in large-scale infrastructure, yet it simultaneously raises questions about the "K-shaped" nature of recovery, where capital-intensive sectors outpace the growth of real wages for the broader population. A pivotal element of India's modern governance is the **Digital Democracy Model**. By leveraging Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI)—specifically the JAM trinity (Jan Dhan, Aadhaar, and Mobile)—the state has fundamentally altered the citizen-state relationship. As of early 2026, Direct Benefit Transfers (DBT) have bypassed traditional bureaucratic "leakages," ensuring that welfare reaches the intended beneficiaries with unprecedented precision. This digitalization has converted the state into an efficient service provider, enhancing the "developmental" legitimacy of the government. For many citizens, democracy is now experienced through the seamless arrival of subsidies and digital services, creating a narrative where technological efficiency is equated with democratic success.

A comprehensive analysis must look beyond administrative efficiency to the health of the **Institutional Framework**. The judiciary continues to navigate a delicate balance, acting as a final arbiter in disputes over civil liberties while managing an increasingly complex relationship with the executive. The Election Commission of India faces the ongoing challenge of maintaining absolute neutrality in an era of polarized political discourse. Perhaps the most contested area is **Federalism**. While the 2025-26 Economic Survey highlights collaborative efforts in infrastructure, the "center-state" relationship remains a theater of friction. Disputes over the devolution of GST revenues, the role of Governors, and the centralized nature of flagship schemes have created a "contested federalism." While the center pushes for national standardization, states demand

greater fiscal and administrative autonomy to address local realities. The analysis suggests that while the "mechanics" of the state are becoming more efficient through technology, the "spirit" of pluralistic democracy relies on the continued independence of these core institutions and the preservation of a healthy federal balance. In essence, India is mastering the art of the "delivery state," but the long-term sustainability of its development will depend on ensuring that institutional checks and balances evolve as rapidly as its digital rails.

Discussion

The intersection of India's rapid economic expansion and its democratic evolution brings to the forefront a critical debate: can a nation maintain high-velocity development while preserving the slow, often contentious, process of democratic deliberation? As India approaches its 2047 goals, the tension between administrative efficiency and political liberty has become the central theme of its national discourse. A significant portion of India's recent success is attributed to a centralized executive model that prioritizes swift decision-making and large-scale implementation. This approach has undoubtedly accelerated infrastructure projects and digital integration. This raises the question of whether "speed" is being achieved at the expense of "deliberation." In a pluralistic society, the legislative process is designed to be a sieve, filtering diverse interests and local concerns through debate. When the executive bypasses these traditional consultative layers to ensure project timelines are met, the immediate developmental output increases, but the democratic "buy-in" from various stakeholders may weaken. The challenge for India is to ensure that the drive for efficiency does not transform the state into a purely technocratic entity where the voice of the dissent is viewed merely as an obstacle to progress.

While the macroeconomic headlines remain positive, a closer look at the 2025-26 economic data reveals a

persistent "K-shaped" recovery. This phenomenon describes a divergence where the formal corporate sector and high-skilled services thrive, while rural economies and informal labor struggle to regain their pre-pandemic momentum. Corporate profits and the wealth of the top decile have seen record growth, yet rural wage growth has remained largely stagnant when adjusted for inflation. This disparity poses a direct threat to the social contract. If the fruits of a 7.4% GDP growth rate are not perceived as being equitably distributed, the resulting social friction can undermine the political stability required for long-term investment. True development must be measured not just by the height of the stock market, but by the rising floor of the minimum wage and the purchasing power of the agrarian heartland. Finally, the sustainability of India's development is deeply linked to the health of its civil society. NGOs, activists, and independent media serve as the "feedback loop" of a democracy, highlighting policy gaps and human rights concerns that the state might otherwise overlook. In recent years, the narrowing of the space for these actors—often through tightened financial regulations and increased legal scrutiny—has weakened this essential mechanism. Without a robust civil society to act as an early-warning system, the state risks operating in an information silo, making it prone to "blind spots" in policy implementation. Sustainable development is not a top-down gift from the state; it is a collaborative process. For India to reach its full potential, it must reconcile its need for executive efficiency with a renewed respect for the pluralistic voices that ensure growth is both inclusive and accountable. The "Indian Model" will ultimately be judged by its ability to prove that democracy is not a luxury of development, but its most durable engine.

Result

The first major finding is that India has successfully decoupled high economic growth from several traditional

Western democratic preconditions. Unlike historical models that suggest liberal institutional maturity must precede rapid wealth accumulation, India has pioneered a unique "Digital Development" model. By leveraging technology to provide public goods directly to its citizens, the state has achieved high growth rates and improved administrative efficiency without waiting for the slow evolution of conventional bureaucratic reforms. This suggests that in the 21st century, digital infrastructure can serve as a potent substitute for certain traditional institutional pathways. Secondly, the research indicates that sustained political stability has significantly boosted Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), as global investors seek "certainty" amid shifting geopolitical alliances. This capital inflow has not yet resolved a critical internal contradiction: high youth unemployment. Despite robust GDP figures, the economy is not generating enough formal-sector jobs for its massive young population. This creates a "demographic threat" where the frustration of an underemployed youth could eventually destabilize the very democratic harmony that investors currently prize. Finally, the strategic use of technology has undeniably increased "state capacity," enabling the government to reach remote populations with unprecedented precision. Yet, while technology has fixed the "plumbing" of governance, it has not yet dismantled the underlying social inequalities. Data suggests that caste and religious divides continue to influence economic outcomes and social mobility.

Conclusion

The evolution of India's political economy since 1947 demonstrates that democracy and development are not mutually exclusive forces; rather, they are currently in a profound state of renegotiation. While the "command and control" era focused on survival, and the post-1991 era on market integration, the present decade is defined by a

quest for a "Digital Development" model. This research has shown that while India has achieved unprecedented economic momentum and administrative efficiency through technology, the qualitative health of its democratic institutions remains a subject of vital debate. To successfully realize the vision of a "Viksit Bharat" by 2047, the state must look beyond the immediate success of infrastructure-led growth. While physical and digital connectivity are essential foundations, the long-term stability of a developed nation requires a parallel commitment to institutional independence and the protection of social pluralism. True development is fragile if it rests solely on the efficiency of service delivery; it becomes durable only when anchored in the rule of law, a free press, and the active participation of a diverse civil society. Strengthening these pillars ensures that economic growth remains inclusive and that the state remains accountable to all segments of its population. The "Indian experiment" serves as a global case study for the 21st century. It proves that for a nation of 1.4 billion people, democracy is far more than a moral or political preference—it is a functional necessity. In a society defined by immense linguistic, religious, and economic diversity, the democratic process acts as the essential "pressure valve" for managing grievances and competing interests. Ultimately, India's journey suggests that while technology can bridge the gap in state capacity, only a robust and pluralistic democracy can provide the social cohesion required to sustain a global superpower.

References

- Freedom House. (2024). *Freedom in the world 2024: The annual survey of political rights and civil liberties*.
- Guha, R. (2007). *India after Gandhi: The history of the world's largest democracy*. HarperCollins.
- International Monetary Fund. "India: Staff Concluding Statement of the 2024 Article IV Consultation Mission." *IMF Country Reports*, 2024.
- Lipset, S. M. (1959). Some social requisites of democracy: Economic development and political legitimacy. *American Political Science Review*, 53(1).
- Ministry of Finance. *Economic Survey of India 2025-26*. Government of India, 2026.
- NITI Aayog. *Strategy for New India @ 75*. Government of India, 2018.
- Reserve Bank of India. *Annual Report 2024-25*. RBI, 2025.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- V-Dem Institute. (2024). *Democracy report 2024: Democracy winning and losing at the ballot box*. University of Gothenburg.
- World Bank. *Global Economic Prospects: India Analysis*. World Bank Group, 2025.
- Yadav, Y. (2000). Understanding the second democratic upsurge: Trends of Bahujan participation in electoral politics in the 1990s. In F. R. Frankel et al. (Eds.), *Transforming India: Social and political dynamics of democracy*. Oxford University Press.